Table II. Predicted Rate Constants for Reactive Bridgehead Systems

	Bingham force field ^a		Engler force field ^b	
Compounds	∆ <i>H</i> (calcd), ^c kcal/mol	k(calcd), ^d sec ⁻¹ 70°, 80% EtOH	∆ <i>H</i> (calcd), ^e kcal/mol	<i>k</i> (calcd), sec ⁻¹ f 70°, 80% EtOH
1-Tricyclo[5.4.1.1 ^{3.9}]tridecyl chloride (IV)	-3.75	2.6×10^{-1}	-3.64	4.5×10^{-2}
6-Tricyclo[4.4.1.1 ^{3,9}]dodecyl chloride (V) ^g	-3.87	2.8×10^{-1}	-4.73	1.5×10^{-1}
3-Tricyclo[5.3.1.1 ³ .9]dodecyl chloride (VI) ^h	-4.35	4.2×10^{-1}	-6.13	3.7×10^{-1}
1-Bicyclo[3.3.3]undecyl chloride (I, $X = Cl$)	-6.77	2.9	-8.36	2.5
3-Tricyclo[5.4.1.1 ³ .9]tridecyl chloride (VII)	-7.35	4.7	-8.32	2.5
1-Tricyclo[5.5.1.1 ^{3,9}]tetradecyl chloride (VIII)	-8.05	8.3	-10.43	15.
1-Tricyclo[5.5.2.2 ⁴ , ¹⁰]tetradecyl chloride (IX) ⁱ			-17.38	$5.4 imes10^3$
1-Bicyclo[4.4.4]tetradecyl chloride (X)	-14.94	$2.0 imes10^3$	-20.80	1.2×10^{5}

^a See ref 3. ^b See ref 7. ^c Difference of strain energy between carbenium ion and corresponding hydrocarbon. ^d Calculated from eq 2. * Difference of steric energy between carbenium ion and corresponding hydrocarbon. / Calculated from -log k(chlorides, 80% EtOH, 70°) = $(0.37)\Delta H + 2.70$, based on the treatment given in ref 6. σ 1,3-Bishomoadamant-6-yl chloride. h 1,1-Bishomoadamant-3-yl chloride. ⁱ Hexahomoadamant-1-yl chloride.



hedral value¹² and an sp² hybridized carbon is more readily accommodated at the bridgehead. Flattening at the bridgehead also reduces the repulsive nonbonded interactions involving the C-3, C-7, and C-10 methylene groups. A similar, but smaller, solvolytic rate enhancement is exhibited by monocyclic cyclooctyl derivatives.¹³ Since the three faces of I-Cl consist of chair-boat cyclooctane rings, the rate enhancement observed for I-Cl can be considered to be another manifestation of the "middle ring effect."¹³

The success of our calculations in predicting bridgehead reactivities^{3,7} has led us to search for other reactive bridgehead systems by computation. All of the polycyclic systems listed in Table II are predicted by both force field treatments^{3,7} to be significantly more reactive than tert-butyl chloride. It has been observed recently¹⁴ that both 1,1-bishomoadamantane (VI-H) and 1,3-bishomoadamantane (V-H), like manxane (I-H), are not stable in air and form hydroperoxides. 1-Chlorobicyclo[4.4.4]tetradecane (X), expected to be even more reactive than I-Cl, would appear to be an excellent objective for experimental investigation.

(12) Engler's force field^e gives the following bond angles for manxane: $\angle C_2C_1C_8$, 115.2°; $\angle C_1C_2C_4$, 118.8°; $\angle C_2C_3C_4$, 118.2°. Similar values have been found experimentally for 1-azamanxane.^{4°} (13) See A. Streitwieser, Jr., "Solvolytic Displacement Reactions," McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1962, p 95; J. Sicher, *Progr. Stereo-chem.*, 3, 202 (1962); H. C. Brown and K. Ichikawa, *Tetrahedron*, 1, 201 (1957). 221 (1957).

(14) Professor T. Sasaki, private communication.

Acknowledgments. We thank Dr. E. M. Engler for preliminary calculations. This work was supported at Princeton by grants from the National Science Foundation (GP-29078X), the National Institutes of Health (GM-19134), and Hoffmann-La Roche, Nutley, N. J., and at Stirling by Science Research Council (B/SR/ 52981). Computer time was supplied by Princeton University. Access to the Princeton Computer Graphics Laboratory, supported by the National Institutes of Health, and to computer programs written by Professor W. Todd Wipke facilitated this research.

> William Parker,* Roy L. Tranter, C. Ian F. Watt Chemistry Department, University of Stirling Stirling, Scotland

Laurence W. K. Chang, Paul v. R. Schleyer Department of Chemistry, Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Received April 27, 1974

Sign Changes in the ¹⁵N-³¹P Directly Bonded **Coupling Constant**

Sir:

The measurement of directly bonded nmr coupling constants is an important experimental activity in regard to the development of bonding theory.¹ Relative sign data pertaining to the group V and group VI nuclei are particularly significant because it is here that sign changes may occur.^{1,2} Both theoretical and experimental considerations^{1,2} indicate that the couplings involving the ³¹P nucleus are predominantly dependent³ upon the phosphorus coordination number, hence it seemed important to determine the signs and magnitudes of the ¹⁵N-³¹P coupling constants in the pentacoordinate compound $F_3P(^{15}NH_2)_2$, 1,⁴ and the tricoordinate-tetracoordinate molecule, F₃P=15NP'- F_{2}' , 2,⁴ and to compare them with that in the tricoordi-

(1) (a) J. A. Pople and D. P. Santry, Mol. Phys., 8, 1 (1964); (b) W. McFarlane, Quart. Rev., Chem. Soc., 23, 187 (1969); (c) C. J. Jameson and H. S. Gutowsky, J. Chem. Phys., 51, 2790 (1969).

(2) C. J. Jameson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 6232 (1969).

(3) Other factors can be important in, e.g., heterocyclic phosphines.
See, e.g., D. Gagnaire, J. B. Robert, and J. Verrier, *Chem. Commun.*, 819 (1967); G. A. Gray and S. E. Cremer, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 451 (1974).

(4) These compounds were synthesized by replacing ammonia with 96.5%¹⁵N enriched ammonia in the literature preparations. For 1 see M. Lustig and H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 9, 1289 (1970); for 2 see G. E. Graves, D. W. McKennon, and M. Lustig, ibid., 10, 2083 (1971).

nate phosphine $(CF_3)_2 P^{15}NH_2$, 3.⁵ The nmr data for 1 are consistent with a trigonal bipyramidal structure in which the NH₂ groups occupy equatorial positions.⁶ The relative sign data which are presented in Table I

Table I. The Signs and Magnitudes for Some Nmr Coupling Constants of 1, 2, and 3

Coupling	J, Hz	K(10 ²⁰ cm ⁻³) ^a	Types of double resonance experiments ^b		
	Fa	${}_{3}P({}^{15}NH_{2})_{2}, 1$			
¹⁵ N- ³¹ P	- 81.54	+165.34			
^{3 1} P ¹⁹ Fa ^c	-672.08	-146.79			
³¹ P- ¹⁹ Fe ^c	- 791.58	-172.89	¹ H-[³¹ P], ³¹ P-[¹ H],		
³¹ P-N- ¹ H	+14.51	+2.98	¹⁹ F-[¹ H], ¹⁹ F-[¹⁹ F]		
${}^{1}H-N-P-{}^{19}F_{a}{}^{c}$	+20.71	+1.83			
$^{1}H-N-P-^{19}F_{e}^{c}$	-1.81	-0.16			
$F_{3}P = {}^{15}NP'F'_{2}, 2^{d}$					
¹⁵ N- ³¹ P	- 53.20	+107.5			
¹⁵ N- ³¹ P'	+93.76	<i>−</i> 189.6 ∫	°'P-[''P]		
	(C)	$F_{3})_{2}P^{15}NH_{2}$, 3			
¹⁵ N- ³¹ P	+52.60	-106.66	Sec. nof 5		
³¹ P-N- ¹ H	-14.21	-2.92 }	See rei 5		

^a For definition of K see ref 11. ^b See ref 7, 8, and 9. ^c $F_a =$ axial fluorine, Fe = equatorial fluorine in a trigonal bipyramidal structure. ^d See ref 12.

were obtained by a combination of spin tickling,7 nuclear Overhauser effect,8 and selective decoupling9 experiments. All the signs for 1 have been related to a negative sign for the directly bonded ³¹P-¹⁹F coupling¹⁰ can therefore be considered absolute.

One noteworthy feature of the data (Table I) is the finding that the sign of the directly bonded coupling, $K_{1^{10}NP}$,¹¹ is negative in 3 and positive in 1. Furthermore, homonuclear ³¹P-[³¹P] double resonance experiments on 2 demonstrate that the signs of the two directly bonded ¹⁵N-³¹P couplings are different¹² in the same molecule. Negative to positive sign changes have also been observed in the directly bonded ¹³C-³¹P¹³ and ³¹P-³¹P¹⁴ couplings when the coordination number of phosphorus is increased from 3 to 4 or 5.

(5) A. H. Cowley, J. R. Schweiger, and S. L. Manatt, Chem. Commun. 1491 (1970).

(6) Dynamic nmr studies have established that at 25° (on the nmr time scale): (i) axial-equatorial fluorine positional interchange is slow and (ii) rotation around the N-P bonds is rapid. The four amino protons are therefore coupled equally to the axial or equatorial fluorine ligands at 25°. See E. L. Muetterties, P. Meakin, and R. Hoffmann, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 5674 (1972).

(7) R. Freeman and W. A. Anderson, J. Chem. Phys., 37, 2053 (1962).

(8) K. Kuhlmann and J. D. Baldeschwieler, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 1010 (1963).

(9) R. Freeman, K. A. McLauchlan, J. I. Musher, and K. G. R. Pachler, *Mol. Phys.*, 5, 321 (1962).
(10) The ³¹P-¹⁹F coupling constant has been shown to be absolute

negative, see D. L. VanderHart, H. S. Gutowsky, and T. C. Farrar, J. Chem. Phys., 50, 1058 (1969).

(11) Since the ¹⁵N nucleus possesses a negative magnetogyric ratio, comparisons between coupling constants are best made by employing the reduced coupling constant, K_{AB} , which is defined by the equation $K_{AB} = (2\pi/\hbar\gamma_A\gamma_B)J_{AB}$ where γ_A and γ_B are the magnetogyric ratios of nuclei A and B, respectively.

(12) The homonuclear ³¹P double resonance experiments (Table I) do not yield the absolute signs of the two ¹⁵N-³¹P coupling constants. However, by analogy with 1 and 3 $K^{15}NP$ (tetracoordinate) and $K^{15}NP'$ (tricoordinate) are expected to be positive and negative, respectively.

 (13) (a) W. McFarlane, Chem. Commun., 58 (1967); (b) W. McFarlane, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 306, 185 (1968); (c) H. Dreeskamp, C. Schumann, and R. Schmutzler, Chem. Commun., 671 (1970); (d) R.-M. Lequan, M.-J. Pouet, and M.-P. Simonnin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun, 475 (1974). (14) C. W. Schultz and R. W. Rudolph, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 1898

(1971), and references therein.

Qualitatively the sign changes in K_{INP} seem to relate to the changes in hybridization at the phosphorus atom. In 3 and the tricoordinate phosphorus atom of 2 the bonding description is essentially $(3p)^3$ (~0% P(3s) character) while in the tetracoordinate phosphorus atom of 2 and the equatorial plane of trigonal bipyramidal 1 the bonding schemes are sp³ (25 % P(3s) character) and the 3s3p² (33.3% P(3s) character), respectively. Note that as K_{15NP} changes from negative to positive then becomes progressively larger as the per cent of P(3s) character increases. This correlation is understandable in terms of the model of Jameson and Gutowsky¹^c in which increasing the valence s character (e.g., at phosphorus) causes a changeover in the dominant coupling mechanism from an indirect negative (core polarization) interaction to a direct positive (Fermi contact) interaction.

The difference in sign in the ³¹P-N-¹H coupling in 1 and 3 is also of interest. The sign of, e.g., the ³¹P-C-¹H coupling is also dependent on the phosphorus coordination number; however K_{PCH} changes from positive to negative when the phosphorus coordination number is increased.¹⁵ The sign difference in the equatorial and axial ¹⁹F-P-N-¹H couplings is also noteworthy since in the analogous phosphorane, (CH₃)₂PF₃, both F-P-C-H couplings are positive;^{13e} however, it is significant that the equatorial coupling is appreciably smaller than the axial coupling.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation (Grant GP 38027X), the Robert A. Welch Foundation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Contract No. NAS 7-100) and the J. P. L. Director's Discretionary Fund for generous financial support.

(15) (a) S. L. Manatt, G. L. Juvinall, R. I. Wagner, and D. D. Elleman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 2689 (1966); (b) A. R. Cullingworth, A. Pidcock, and J. D. Smith, Chem. Commun., 89 (1966); (d) G. Mavel, Progr. Nucl. Magn. Resonance Spectrosc., 1, 251 (1966); (d) W. Mc-Farlane, Chem. Commun., 58 (1967); (e) E. J. Boros, R. D. Compton, and J. G. Verkade, Inorg. Chem., 7, 165 (1968).

> Jerald R. Schweiger, Alan H. Cowley* Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712

Edward A. Cohen,* Paulus A. Kroon, Stanley L. Manatt* Space Sciences Division, Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91103 Received July 22, 1974

Exciplexes of a Transition Metal Complex

Sir:

Excimer and exciplex formation is a widespread phenomenon for aromatic molecules.¹⁻³ In the field of transition metal complexes the formation of excimers or exciplexes has been invoked only in a few cases⁴⁻⁶ and, to our knowledge, excimer or exciplex

(1) C. A. Parker, "Photoluminescence of Solutions," Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1968.

(2) J. B. Birks, "Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules," Wiley-Interscience, London, 1970.

(3) B. Stevens, Advan. Photochem., 8, 161 (1971).

(4) D. G. Whitten, I. G. Lopp, and P. D. Wildes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 7196 (1968).
(5) J. B. Callis, J. M. Knowles, and M. Gouterman, J. Phys. Chem.,

77, 154 (1973). (6) G. Sergeeva, A. Chibisov, L. Levshin, and A. Karyakin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 159 (1974).